Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/776,076	DATTA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanhha Pham	2813
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Thanhha Pham</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael Bernadicou.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>7/19/05 & 7/21/05</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N] Applicant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:	••	
Part I.		·
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
claims 12, 18 and 21		
Prior art documents discussed: Bhattacharya [US 4,514,751]	*	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The examiner will provide a eparate record of the substance of	of the interview, since the interview
•		,
	•	×
		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested amendments to independent claims 12, 18 and 21 to put the application in condition of allowance as followed:

- In claim 12,
 - line 4, after "the metal adhesion first layer" insert under conditions to impart a compressive stress therein -
- In claim 18,
 - line 6, after "layer" insert -- under conditions to impart a compressive stress therein -
- In claim 21,

line 5, after "layer" insert -- , the metal second layer comprising copper being formed under conditions to impart a compressive stress therein -- .